

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/650,348	08/28/2003	Daniel Ray Downing	DN2001124D01	6674
27280 75	590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY			GOODMAN, CHARLES	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 823 1144 EAST MARKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, OH 44316-0001			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/650,348	DOWNING, DANIEL RAY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles Goodman	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,20,22,23,26,27,29 and 33-36 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,20 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23,26,27,29 and 33-36 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the second sec	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	Λ □ 1=4= - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/650,348

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on 7/24/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 23, 26, 27, 29 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benzing (WO 00/51810) in view of Oldeman (US 4,922,774).

Benzing discloses the invention substantially as claimed except that it appears that Benzing lacks the second support surface being not tangent to the first support surface. However, Oldeman teaches an anvil (9) having first and second support surfaces that are not tangent to each other. Moreover, such a configuration appears to work equally well in facilitating the cut of the material. Note Figs. 1-2. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to modify the invention of Benzing to thereby have a non-tangent second surface for the reasons stated supra.

Regarding the inclination ranges of theta two, they are deemed to be obvious matter of design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-5, 20 and 22 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's basic argument that the combination of Benzing and Oldeman do not render obvious the claimed invention, this argument is traversed.

First, Applicant's contention that neither reference teach the claimed gap distance d is traversed to the extent that the primary reference Benzing clearly teaches such a distance. Benzing states in the first couple of lines in p. 10 that the depth (d) is less than or equal to the thickness of the strip. Applicant's contention without some sort of support fails to persuade.

Second, Applicant's contention that the combination would not be obvious mainly because the references are concerned with cutting reinforced versus non-reinforced rubber fails when both are analogous art. Both references require cutting of rubber to manufacture tires, and therefore the characteristics and the method of cutting the skives are pertinent to both whether the strip is reinforced or not. Since the "tangential" and "non-tangential" anvil configurations in both references appear to work equally well in facilitating the skive cut, either anvil configurations are obvious modifications to each other.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8:30 AM to

Application/Control Number: 10/650,348

Art Unit: 3724

6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley, can be reached on (571) 272-4502. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (571) 273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner AU 3724

NH 1

October 16, 2006

CHARLES GOODMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER